J.

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

| Company/Mi Permit #: <u>M</u> / | ne: Interstate Brick Company/Snow White Mine CO # MC-05-01-13(1) Violation # 1 of 1 | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <u>SERIOUSNI</u> | <u>ESS</u> | | |
| 1. | What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that the event is NOT the same as the violation . Mark and explain each event. | | |
| | a. Activity outside the approved permit area. b. Injury to the public (public safety). c. Damage to property. d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. e. Environmental harm. f. Water pollution. g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. i. No event occurred as a result of the violation. j. Other. | | |
| Explanation: | The Operator has been conducting mining operations outside the area for which a reclamation surety has been posted. | | |
| 2. | Has the event or damage occurred? <u>Yes</u> If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely). | | |
| Explanation: | The inspection of this site on 9-07-2005 found several acres of mining related disturbance outside the area currently under reclamation surety. | | |
| 3. | Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? Yes If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area. | | |
| Explanation: | Approximately 5 acres that was not under reclamation surety has been recently mined. This area had been previously disturbed by the operator, but had not been included in the reclamation surety accepted on April 5, 2004. | | |



| N/CO# | MC-05-01-13(1) |
|-------------|----------------|
| Violation # | 1 of 1 |

| B. <u>DEG</u> | <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss) | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| | Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site. | | |
| Explanation | | | |
| \boxtimes | Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care. | | |
| Explanation | The operator had previously operated in the area of this violation (since 1992). However, the area was not included on the map submitted with the reclamation surety for this operation. | | |
| | If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited. | | |
| Explanation: | | | |
| | Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition? | | |
| Explanation: | | | |
| | Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken. | | |
| Explanation: | On May 5, 2003, the operator was notified by certified letter that he had expanded beyond 5 acres and was directed to either permit as a Large Mining Operation or reclaim sufficient area to reduce the disturbance to less than 5 acres. | | |
| | as any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? | | |
| Explanation: | | | |

| N CO# | MC-05-01-13(1) |
|-------------|----------------|
| Violation # | _1of1 |

GOOD FAITH

| | 1. | In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NO must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possi | You think this applies, and describe the | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Explan | nation: | | | |
| | 2. | Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary rescompliance. | sources on site to achieve | | |
| | Explan | nation: | | | |
| | 3. | Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity rec CO? <u>yes, explain</u> . | quired by this NOV / | | |
| Explanation: Abatement requires the operator to submit a Large Mining Notice. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | <u>Cunzler</u> ized Re | presentative Signature | September 26, 2005 Date | | |
| | | • | | | |